1		HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8		
9	AT SEA	TTLE
10	MARY MATSON,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C10-1528 RAJ
12	v.	ORDER
13	V.	
14	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	This matter comes before the court <i>sua sponte</i> . On February 14, 2013, the court	
18	entered a minute order setting trial and related dates, including deadlines for motions <i>in</i>	
19	<i>limine</i> , pretrial order, trial briefs, proposed jury instructions, proposed voir dire, agreed	
20	neutral statement of the case, deposition designations, and trial exhibits. Dkt. # 160. The	
21		

entered a minute order setting trial and related dates, including deadlines for motions *in limine*, pretrial order, trial briefs, proposed jury instructions, proposed voir dire, agreed neutral statement of the case, deposition designations, and trial exhibits. Dkt. # 160. The court advises the parties that its prior orders on motions *in limine* will apply equally to the new trial, except with respect to the preemption issue on the extra-work assignments. Dkt. # 93. Since the court has found that only Ms. Matson's hostile work environment claim based on non-extra-work assignments will be re-tried, neither party will be permitted to introduce evidence based on "extra-work" assignments. Additionally, the court advises the parties that it will use the jury instructions and verdict forms for hostile

work environment as previously decided. The parties need not submit motions in limine, pretrial orders, trial briefs, proposed jury instructions, proposed voir dire, or agreed neutral statement of the case, unless the parties have new or additional information not previously raised. The court emphasizes that all prior rulings (except for preemption) will apply to the new trial. The parties must still submit deposition designations and trial exhibits. Dated this 14th day of May, 2013. Richard A Jones The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge